

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,609	08/01/2003	Peter Keller	188.542	4742
47888	7590 04/14/2006		EXAM	INER
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annlingtion No.	Applicant/c)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/632,609	KELLER ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The BEAU INC DATE of this communication	William C. Joyce	ith the correspondence address	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
· — · · _ —	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	6
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I). 11, 4 53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		···	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/632,609 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

a. Group I, Figures 1-4.

b. Group II, Figures 5-6.

c. Group III, Figure 7.

The species are independent or distinct because each disclosed species has a

separate subject of inventive effort and therefore is considered to be diverging subject

matter. The disclosed species may be classified together, but examining the diverging

subject matter of each disclosed species is considered a serious burden on the

examiner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3682

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Joyce 4/11/06